

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/763,931	01/23/2004	Nady E. Nady	5032-105 US	7857
7590 07/28/2004			EXAMINER	
Gregory C. Houghton, Esq.			COMSTOCK, DAVID C	
Mathews, Collins, Shepherd & McKay, P.A.				
Suite 306 100 Thanet Circle Princeton, NJ 08540			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3732	
			DATE MAILED: 07/28/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Amultantina Na	Applicant/o)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/763,931	NADY, NADY E.				
omee Action Cammary	Examiner Completely	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	David Comstock	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply	cars on the sover sheet that the s	on copeniusco uuuco				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 						
Application Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 23 January 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive	on No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)	🗀					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 and 9-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nestor et al. (4,099,521).

Nestor et al. disclose a retractor device comprising an elongated element 5 adapted to be mounted to a surgical table via clamp B (see Fig. 1). An elongate curved arm 20 is slidably mounted on the elongated element via slide member 25. The elongate arm includes a plurality of open channels 34 slidably mounted thereon. The device includes a plurality of flexible elements 35, which are received on the elongate arm (see Figs 2, 8 and 9). Bifurcate gripping elements 36 are attached to the flexible elements (see Fig. 10). The flexible elements comprise a plurality of raised circular portions that are received in the open channels (see Figs 2, 8 and 9). Nestor discloses using the device to retract body skin folds, i.e. retracted cheek skin around the mouth (see Fig. 2 and col. 5, line 62 - col. 7, line 42). With regard to claims 13-15, it is noted that claims directed to the structure of the apparatus are not entitled to patentable weight in claims directed to the process of using the device.

Application/Control Number: 10/763,931

Art Unit: 3732

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nestor et al. (4,099,521) in view of Lange (5,709,646).

Nestor et al. disclose the claimed invention except for providing a replaceable elastomeric cover. Lange discloses a retractor 30 having a replaceable elastomeric cover 12 to reduce slipping in the body cavity, to reduce trauma to tissue and to ease the workload on surgical personnel (see Figs. 1-3 and col. 1, lines 56 - col. 2, line 2). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the retractor device of Nestor et al. with a replaceable elastomeric cover, in view of Lange, in order to reduce slipping in the body cavity, to reduce trauma to tissue, and to ease the workload on surgical personnel.

Application/Control Number: 10/763,931

Art Unit: 3732

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David C. Comstock whose telephone number is (703) 308-8514.

Œ

D. Comstock 26 July 2004

> Todd Edmanahan Primary Examiner